

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LAMAR HENDRIX CAUSEY,

Petitioner,

v.

ALAMEDA COUNTY SUPERIOR
COURT,

Respondent.

Case No. [20-cv-06630-RMI](#)

**ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO
REASSIGN CASE**

All named parties, including unserved defendants, must consent before a magistrate judge has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1) to hear and decide a case. *See Williams v. King*, 875 F.3d 500, 503 (9th Cir. 2017) (magistrate judge lacked jurisdiction to dismiss case on initial review because unserved defendants had not consented to proceed before magistrate judge). It appears that this case requires a decision dispositive of one or more defendants or claims at this time because Petitioner has not shown the sort of extraordinary circumstances described in *Younger v. Harris*, 401 U.S. 37, 43-54 (1971), and consent of all parties has not been obtained. Accordingly, the Clerk of Court shall reassign this case to a district judge pursuant to the court's assignment plan.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 24, 2021



ROBERT M. ILLMAN
United States Magistrate Judge